| | Scheme | name / summa | ry descrip | tion | | | | | Value £'000 |
|----------|---|--|--|---|---|---|--------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Α | Economic growth | | | | | | | | |
| | New additions | | | | | | | | |
| Page 151 | Why do we Attercliffe h Sheffield C for future in How are w Initially, des be funded f What are th Inc Inc Inc Job | ity Council has such avestment. This proj e going to achieve sk-top surveys will b from levelling Up Fu he benefits? reased footfall on the reased footfall on the reased investment reased confidence the project be con the project be con 4 Levelling Up Fund | ? uctural econo cessfully bid ject relates to e it? be undertake ind. he High Street in the local a amongst location he High Street in the local a | omic decline since the for 'Levelling Up Fun- o 1 of 3 schemes in th n to inform of the acc et rea al businesses 28k onal services for feas | ding' to inv ne bid and i juisition priv Status ibility unde | ducing the attractiveness of Attercliffe as a est in Attercliffe to make direct improveme is for the purchase and refurbishment of 2 ce for both buildings. The cost of this initi reference of the purchase and refurbishment of the purchase ce for both buildings. The cost of this initi | Approved | oject is £28k & will | +28 |
| | Why do we | Jp Fund – Castle S e need the project ity Council has succ | ? | for 'Levelling Up Fun | ding' to inv | est in three projects that focus on the heri | tage, culture, nat | ural environment | +337 |

and public realm of the Castlegate area.

This was a 'package bid' that brings together three projects that respond directly to the Levelling Up Fund's call to 'prioritise investment that not only brings economic benefits, but also helps bind communities together'. It welcomes visitors, residents and investors into a previously neglected part of Sheffield's heritage, using public realm interventions to create new sense of place. It links historic sites with revitalised cultural institutions that will nurture the city's talent for generations to come.

This approval relates to 1 of 3 project in the bid and is for works to reveal the ruins of Sheffield's Castle and the river Sheaf, create enhanced public realm and green space, and creation of development plots to allow for future businesses to locate in the area.

How are we going to achieve it?

The initial phase of the project is for the procurement of archaeological services only which are required to enable further archaeological investigation prior to designs being finalised. The cost of this stage is £337k and will be funded from Levelling Up Fund.

What are the benefits / Outcomes?

- Reveal the ruins of Sheffield's Castle and the river Sheaf through the creation of high-quality public realm
- Create a visitor attraction for Sheffield
- Deliver 6 development plots to attract private investment into the area

When will the project be completed?

February to March 2022

Page

_

52

| Funding Source | Levelling Up Fund | Amount | 337k | Status | | Approved | | | |
|---|----------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|----------|--|-----|--|
| Procureme | ent | i. Archaeo | ogical consultant by | GPA comp | liant restricted procedure. | | | | |
| Variations and reasons for change | | | | | | | | | |
| Stocksbridge Town Fund Manchester Road Hub | | | | | | | | N/A | |
| Scheme de | escription: | | | | | | | | |
| To underta | ke feasibility works | to concept d | esign stage for the cr | eation of a | new community hub in Stocksbridge. | | | | |
| What has changed? | | | | | | | | | |
| The feasibility budget was approved by the Co-operative Executive in September 2021 to cover professional services delivered by the Capital Delivery Service (in-house), the Capital Delivery Partner (RLB) and a single direct appointment (Kinsler & Partners). | | | | | | | | | |

| To progress the feasibility, the project now requires SCC to undertake the following additional procurements. i. RICS registered, Red Book valuations for the identified properties. | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| ii. Market Intelligence Report for the Stocksbridge area. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| The estimated cost of the above commissions falls within the already approved budget envelope (£200k). | | | | | | | |
| Variation type: - | | | | | | | |
| Procurement | | | | | | | |
| Funding Corporate Resource Pool in the first instance to be recovered from Towns Fund when available | | | | | | | |
| Procurement Red Book valuations and market intelligence report by way of competitive quotes target | ocal suppliers. | | | | | | |
| B Transport | | | | | | | |
| New additions | | | | | | | |
| Public Right of Way [PROW] 2022-23 | | | | | | | |
| O O O Public Right of Way [PROW] 2022-23 A Why do we need the project? | | | | | | | |
| The Council has a statutory responsibility for the maintenance and improvement of an extensive public rights | vay (PROW) network. | | | | | | |
| PROWs provide a vital part of the city's overall transport network, with many paths being within or on the edg provide our citizens with a sustainable and healthy means of access to work, education, training and provide make a valuable contribution to the Council's overall policies. | | | | | | | |
| How are we going to achieve it? | | | | | | | |
| The 2022-23 PROW program of works includes improvements at 11 sites which are listed below: - | | | | | | | |
| Bradfield Footpath 42 – new bridge – Dale Dyke Reservoir inlet | | | | | | | |
| Bradfield Footpath 54 – new bridge – behind Loxley Fisheries | | | | | | | |
| Bradfield Bridleway 227 – upgrade stone surface – opposite Gate Farm, Burnt Hill Lane, Oughtibridge | | | | | | | |
| Sheffield Bridleway 28 – improve drainage – Piper House Gate track, Blackamoor | | | | | | | |
| Sheffield Footpath 127 – install flexible tarmac type surface – path through allotments, opposite Hagg Hill / R | n Valley Rd T junction | | | | | | |
| Sheffield Bridleway 201a – upgrade stone surface – off Long Lane, Rivelin | | | | | | | |
| Sheffield Footpaths 227/228 – replace tarmac surface – Gilleyfield Avenue to Bushey Wood Road, Totley | | | | | | | |
| Sheffield Footpath 277 – upgrade stone surface – off Periwood Lane alongside Crematorium, Woodseats/Mil | ses | | | | | | |

-

| | Sheffield Footpath 425 – install tarmac surface – off Linley Lane, Normanton Springs | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|---|---|-----------|---|----------|----------------------|-----|
| | | | | 2 | | ne, Rivelin/Stannington | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Design works are to be undertaken on the above and the cost is currently estimated at £225k plus £15k commuted sum which will be funded from Local Transport Plan. | | | | | | | | |
| | What are the benefits? | | | | | | | | |
| Page | Imp Imp Rec Enc Pro | proved accessibility proved green transp proved flood resilien duced ongoing mair courage more walkin mote a more please proving health by inc | ort links with ce ntenance cos ng, cycling a ant local env | in the urban area sts nd horse riding ironment | | | | | |
| | When will the project be completed? | | | | | | | | |
| 154 | March 2023 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Funding Source | Local Transport Plan | Amount | 225k | Status | Ringfenced for Transport Projects | Approved | | |
| | Procurement | | i. Professional services by a combination of in-house delivery (PROW team, Capital Delivery Services) and via Amey Hallam Highways through the Streets Ahead PFI. | | | | | | |
| | | | ii. Re-surfacing works via call-off from the Non-Highways Resurfacing measured term corporate contract. iii. Bridge replacements and future maintenance via call-off from the Streets Ahead PFI. | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | | | | |
| | | Link Oughtibridge | | Cycling] | | | | | +55 |
| | | need the project? | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | a joint project to create a link from Sheffie proshire County Council and Peak Distric | | rn Peak District. It | |
| | to provide a | shared surface for | tpath and cy | | velopment | bughtibridge centre from the Paper Mill Ho to Oughtibridge which will link to an exist field. | | | |

How are we going to achieve it?

Works will be undertaken to produce the preliminary design and estimate for the construction of the walking and cycling route that meets LTN1/20 standards. The start/finish point is governed by a planning condition and there is scope to include an improved crossing at Station Lane Oughtibridge, if required.

The project will be fully funded from Section 106 income and this stage of the project is £55k

What are the benefits?

- Improved access for cyclists and walkers from the Oughtibridge Mill housing development to Station Lane in Oughtibridge then on towards Sheffield on the established riverside route.
- Improvement to pedestrian facilities will help to encourage walking, for local journeys or access to the public transport network.
- Reduced car usage, and hence improving air quality, and increasing physical activity.
- Safer walking and cycling facilities. This in turn promotes healthier lifestyles whilst encouraging vibrancy in local areas and supports access to public transport.

When will the project be completed?

Design 2021/22 and 2022/23, and build 2022/23

| ^o age 1 | Funding Source | Section 106 | Amount | 55k | Status | Received | Approved | | |
|--------------------|---|-------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 155 | Procureme | ent | i. Professi | ional services for | feasibility unde | ertaken in-house by the | Capital Delivery Service and Trans | sport Planning. | |
| | Variations and reasons for change | | | | | | | | |
| | Crosspool 20mph | | | | | | | | |
| | Scheme de | escription | | | | | | | |
| | On 8 March 2012, the City Council Cabinet Highways Committee approved the 'Sheffield 20mph Speed Limit Strategy', the long-term aim of which is to establish 20mph as the maximum speed in appropriate residential areas of Sheffield. Since then 18 'sign only' 20mph speed limit areas have been introduced across the City. | | | | | | | | |
| | Reducing the speed of traffic in residential areas will, in the long term, reduce the number and severity of accidents, reduce the fear of accidents, encourage sustainable modes of travel and contribute towards the creation of a more pleasant, cohesive environment. | | | | | | | | |
| | The current 20mph speed limit areas consist of a speed limit change but no physical measures to reduce vehicle speeds within the areas. Drivers are alerted to the speed limit by 20mph speed limit repeater signs. 20mph speed limit areas are identified by entry signs to the area together with smaller repeater signs throughout the area. | | | | | | | | |
| | The Crosspool 20mph scheme has previously been approved to undertake feasibility and design works. | | | | | | | | |

| What has changed? | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|--|--|------------|--|--|--|--|
| | The feasibil value of £57 | | s have been completed and the scheme has been calculated to cost a total of £104k with an estimated commuted sum | | | | | |
| | As a result, | the budget has bee | n increased by £89k and will be fully funded from Local Transport Plan. | | | | | |
| | Variation type: - | | | | | | | |
| | Budget increase | | | | | | | |
| | Funding | Local Transport Pl | an | | | | | |
| | Procureme | nt | i. Design and build via call-off from the Streets Ahead PFI | | | | | |
| т | School Stre | eets | | 21/22 -188 | | | | |
| Page | Scheme description 2 | | | | | | | |
| je 156 | School Streets' is a term being used to describe a scheme where the road outside a school is closed temporarily at school opening and closing times. Typically, a road is closed for an hour in the morning and an hour in the afternoon. School Streets are becoming increasingly popular across the UK as more Local Authorities are using them as a way to address key issues such as air pollution, traffic congestion and inconsiderate parking at the school gate, whilst also promoting active travel and healthy lifestyles. | | | | | | | |
| | This project Nether Edge | is to implement a p e, Anns Grove, Brac | rogramme of School Street type schemes at 10 schools' streets across the city: - Carfield, Greystones, Porter Croft, dway, Silverdale, Pipworth, Hunters Bar I & J, Pye bank. | | | | | |
| | What has c | hanged? | | | | | | |
| | Delays in th moved into | | experienced due to staffing resource issues and the availability of materials. As a result, £188k of funding will be | | | | | |
| | Variation ty | /pe: - | | | | | | |
| | Slip | ppage | | | | | | |
| | Funding | Capital Receipts | | | | | | |
| | Procureme | nt | N/A | | | | | |
| С | Quality o | f life | | | | | | |
| | New additi | ons | | | | | | |

| | Fly Tipping & Graffiti Prevention | +100 | | | | | |
|------|---|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Why do we need the project? | | | | | | |
| | Fly tipping levels are substantial and whilst slightly down on previous years continue to be a major factor on the perceived quality of the environment, and together with graffiti generate substantial complaints. | | | | | | |
| | 18/19: 15,254 incidents, estimated costs £692K | | | | | | |
| Page | There is a need to deliver immediate and longer-term reductions in fly tipping and graffiti that can be sustained beyond the lifetime of the project, shifting to more preventative measures including target hardening of hotspots by installing fencing and other controls. Tackling fly-tipping and graffiti is also a key element in the One Year Plan. | | | | | | |
| | How are we going to achieve it? Investment in infrastructure to tackle fly tipping and graffiti resulting in a sustainable reduction in incidents by installing fencing, gates, barriers, and appropriate landscaping. | | | | | | |
| | What are the benefits? Objectives A permanent reduction in fly-tipping and graffiti across the city To agree and deliver a sustainable and visible threat reduction programme on fly tipping and graffiti | | | | | | |
| 157 | Outputs Large numbers of Hotspots in Sheffield will be protected Sites will go from grey/grot to green because of reduced fly tipping and graffiti | | | | | | |
| | Benefits Cleaner, Greener, Safer neighbourhoods with an improved quality of life High visibility interventions and lower costs in the long term in dealing with fly tipping and graffiti Enables proactive action against fly tipping and graffiti Long term increase in prevention works e.g. target hardening at more hotspots to achieve less crime in the future Fewer incidents of fly tipping and graffiti on SCC and private land, and therefore fewer complaints | | | | | | |
| | When will the project be completed? September 2022 | | | | | | |
| | CostsCommercial Services £1KFencing, gates etc£99KTotal£100K | | | | | | |

| Budget 21/22 £30K 22/23 £70K. | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--------------|------------------------|-------------|--|-------------------|---|---|--|
| | Funding Source | Corporate Investment Funds approved | Amount | £100K | Status | Part of the approved CIF allocations | Approved | Quality of Life Programme Group via email | | |
| | Procureme | nt | i. Preventio | on works will be procu | ired by a c | combination of existing corporate contract | s and competitive | e quotes. | | |
| | Variations | and reasons for c | hange | | | | | | | |
| | None | | | | | | | | | |
| Page | Green an | d open spaces | | | | | | | 1 | |
| ີ | New additions | | | | | | | | | |
| ö. | None | | | | | | | | | |
| | Variations and reasons for change | | | | | | | - | | |
| | Shirebrook Valley Visitor Zone Improvements | | | | | | 21/22 22/23 | -38 +38 | | |

| | Funding Public Health £18.6K Veolia Grant £40.0K Woodlands RCC £16.2K Ecology Unit RCC £1.5K Parks Projects RCC £5.0K RCCs on Balance Sheet £3.0K Total £84.3K | | | | | | |
|------|--|----------------------|--|--------|--|--|--|
| | Funding See above | | | | | | |
| | Procurement N/A | | | | | | |
| E | Housing | growth | | | | | |
| Pa | D New additions | | | | | | |
| Page | None | | | | | | |
| 159 | | | | | | | |
| | None | | | | | | |
| F | Housing i | investment | | | | | |
| | New addition | ons | | | | | |
| | None | | | | | | |
| | Variations a | and reasons for c | hange | | | | |
| | Council Ho | using Elemental R | Refurbishment 2021-26 | -2,297 | | | |
| | Scheme des To award a these are fai | new contract for ele | emental improvements of kitchens, bathrooms, new windows and doors, and electrical and heating upgrades where Decent Homes Standard DHS to council housing. | | | | |
| | What has c | hanged? | | | | | |

| Page | used on oth Variation ty Costs CDS Fees Construction Direct Costs Contingenc Total Budget Current 21/2 Current 22/2 Current 23/2 Current 24/2 Current 25/2 | er internal works sc (pe: Budget decreas) (pe: Budget decreas) (pe: Budget decreas) (pe: 11,075.1K) (pe: 11,075.1K) (pe: 11,075.1K) (pe: 11,075.1 | 8K + £0.0K = £31.8K 5K - £154.0K = £2,832.5K 5K - £404.0K = £2,832.5K 5K - £2.8K = £3,233.7K 5K + £694.1K = £3,144.6K | | | | |
|------|--|---|---|-------|--|--|--|
| 160 | Total 21-27 Budget £14,371.8K - £2,296.7K = £12,075.1K | | | | | | |
| | Funding | HRA via Q0086 | | | | | |
| | Procureme | nt | N/A Contract Award approved December 2021 | | | | |
| | Council Ho | ousing Internal Wor | ks Block Allocation | 2,297 | | | |
| | Scheme de Block alloca | | iternal works to the Housing Stock. | | | | |
| | What has changed? Following the procurement exercise for the new Elementals contract there is a saving against the winning tender, which will be returned to this allocation so it can be used on other internal works schemes. See separate entry above for Council Housing Elemental Refurbishments 2021-26. | | | | | | |
| | Variation ty | /pe: Budget increas | e | | | | |
| | Variation type: Budget increase Budget Current 22/23 Budget £113.0K Current 23/24 Budget £113.0K Current 24/25 Budget £500.0K Current 25/26 Budget £999.5K | | | | | | |

| | | | $3K + \pounds 2,296.7K = \pounds 5,728.0K$ $3K + \pounds 2,296.7K = \pounds 7,453.5K$ | | | | | |
|-------|---|--------------------|--|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | Funding | HRA | | | | | | |
| | Procurement N/A | | | | | | | |
| | Council Housing Adaptations - Stairlifts | | | | | | | |
| | Scheme description To procure a new contract which enables the supply and installation of new stairlifts to meet the adaptations needs of Sheffield City Council's housing tenants. It is anticipated that there will be a requirement to install circa 330 Stairlifts over the next 3 years. A number of these will be for the replacement of existing stairlifts which have either got to the end of their life cycle or beyond economic repair. | | | | | | | |
| σ | What has changed? Following the tender exercise the contract has been awarded. The contract sum came back £57K higher than budgeted and therefore more funding is required to cover the costs. | | | | | | | |
| Page | Variation type: Budget increase | | | | | | | |
| 9 161 | Costs Contract Sum £1,571.2K | | | | | | | |
| | BudgetCurrent 21/22 Budget $\pounds 50.0K + \pounds 0.0K = \pounds 50.0K$ Current 22/23 Budget $\pounds 362.5K + \pounds 157.5K = \pounds 520.0K$ Current 23/24 Budget $\pounds 362.5K + \pounds 157.5K = \pounds 520.0K$ Current 24/25 Budget $\pounds 362.5K + \pounds 118.7K = \pounds 481.2K$ Current 25/26 Budget $\pounds 362.5K - \pounds 362.5K = \pounds 0.0K$ Total21-26 Budget £1,500.0K + £71.2K = \pounds 1,571.2K | | | | | | | |
| | Funding | HRA via Adaptatio | ns and & Access Block Allocation | | | | | |
| | Procurement N/A Contract Award approved November 2021 | | | | | | | |
| | Council Ho | ousing Adaptations | & Access Block Allocation | -71 | | | | |
| | Scheme de Block alloca | | daptation and access improvements to the Housing Stock. | | | | | |
| | What has o | changed? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | The final business case for the Adaptations – Stairlifts contract has come forward and following the tender exercise needs £57K more funding, to be drawn down from this allocation. See separate entry above for BU 97476 Stairlifts – Adaptations. | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|--------------------|-------------------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| | Variation type: Budget decrease | | | | | | | |
| | Budget <u>Current 25/26 Budget £3,130.0K - £71.2K = £3,058.8K</u> Total 25-27 Budget £6,260.0K - £71.2K = £6,188.8K | | | | | | | |
| | Funding | HRA | | | | | | |
| | Procureme | ent | N/A | | | | | |
| | Council Ho | ousing Heating, En | ergy Efficiency, & Carbon Reduction | -297 | | | | |
| Page | Scheme description Block allocation of funding for energy efficiency improvements to the Housing Stock. | | | | | | | |
| e 162 | What has changed? A business case has been brought forward to create a Road Map to Net Zero to establish where SCC Housing Stock is at a detailed level on environmental performance, carbon emissions, impact on customers, and provide indicative cost of works related to the standards that need to be achieved. | | | | | | | |
| | The road map will provide the Council with clarity on the most effective measures to invest in its housing stock to achieve carbon net zero, whilst also critically tackling fuel poverty as properties become more energy and thermally efficient. | | | | | | | |
| | Costs for this piece of work can't be capitalised at this stage so a commensurate reduction in the contribution to the capital programme is proposed. | | | | | | | |
| | Variation type: Budget decrease | | | | | | | |
| | Budget <u>Current 22/23 Budget £2,400K - £297K = £2,103K</u> Total 22-27 Budget £42,700K - £297K = £42,403K | | | | | | | |
| | Funding | HRA | | | | | | |
| | Procureme | ent | N/A | | | | | |
| G | People – | capital and gro | wth | | | | | |

| | New additions | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|---|----------|--|---------|--|
| | King Edward Upper School FRA Works | | | | | | | | +32.3 | |
| | Why do we need the project? | | | | | | | | | |
| | Fire Risk Assessments have highlighted shortfalls in the provision of necessary Fire Precautions in several school buildings. King Edward Upper School, located in Broomhill is one of these. A cohesive overarching assessment of the current strategy against implementation is required to allow remedial action to bring the set of buildings back into compliance – when reviewed against approved building regulations for essential fire safety works. | | | | | | | | | |
| | How are we going to achieve it? | | | | | | | | | |
| | Design, tender and manage site works to the Upper School Site | | | | | | | | | |
| | | • Review Fire S | trategy and e | ensure appropriate st | rategy is in | place. | | | | |
| | | o Identification o | of necessary | works to fire compart | mentations | and installation of appropriate fire safety | systems | | | |
| | | • Fire Plan in pla | ace | | | | | | | |
| σ | | o 3rd Party Cert | ification to ve | erify completed works | ; | | | | | |
| Page | What are t | he benefits? | | | | | | | | |
| e 163 | Identified Fire Risk issues addressed. IA fully Building Regulations part B- compliant school site, suitable for protection to pupils, staff and visitors to the building When will the project be completed? 10/11/2022 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Funding Source | DfE Condition Allocation | Amount | £32.3k | Status | | Approved | | | |
| | Procurement | | i. Professional services for feasibility undertaken via a combination of in-house delivery through the Capital Delivery Service and by call-off from the Capital Delivery Partner corporate contract. | | | | | | | |
| | | | ii. Refurbishment and Demolition survey by call-off from the existing Asbestos Surveyor and Project Management Framework. | | | | | | | |
| | Aldine House – Corner Infill Extension, and | | | | | | | | +347.0 | |
| | Aldine House – External Lighting Replacement | | | | | | | | +22.3 | |
| | Why do we need the project? | | | | | | | | + 369.3 | |
| | Aldine House is a secure children's home built approximately 24 years ago at Limb Lane in Dore. It is owned and operated by Sheffield City Council | | | | | | | | | |

and is home to ten young people at any one time. Over the past few years Aldine House has begun to undertake a full-scale refurbishment project to uplift the home to bring it in line with new and modern facilities such as Adel Beck SCH, Aycliffe SCH and Barton Moss SCH. The building is currently undergoing an expansion project which will provide a further two bedrooms, classroom space, staff space and a secure external MUGA. It is predicted that once Aldine House has reached 12 beds it will have maximised the capacity it has within its site and will have undergone 50% increase in occupancy in recent times.

To further support this expansion ancillary staff accommodation is an increasing requirement and Aldine House has historically struggled with staff space and several areas of the home have had to be adapted to meet this need over the years. Aldine House has increased the staffing ratios in recent years to enable the support of very complex and traumatised children; we now need the space to safely accommodate these additional staff.

This request is to seek permission to construct a two-storey office extension, located within the inverted corner of the building. The ground floor providing additional staff office space (approx. 24m2 - the single largest staff workspace in the home) with the added benefit of creating a fit for purpose archive room on the first floor. The past 22 months of the Covid-19 Pandemic has highlighted the need for larger staff spaces within the home, as many of the smaller offices have now been designated as single person workspaces due to social distancing.

Due to the extension being a staff only area there is no requirement for specialist services such as access control / staff attack within the new accommodation. Additionally, the structure of the build is not required to be secure to the same extent as the "external perimeter due to it being located outside of the secure RC wall perimeter.

In addition to the staff extension, the project will also include the replacement of external light fittings.

External lighting is crucial in any secure environment and following on from the completion of the Phase 3 extension Aldine House would like to ensure that the same high quality, high functioning LED lights installed as part of that phase are replicated across the rest of the premises. LED lighting ensures a higher level of illumination, longer running times and significantly reduced energy costs.

They are more durable, reliable and need little to no maintenance. For example, when compared to traditional luminaires, LED light fittings can use up to 65% less energy. This proposal includes replacing 48 of the old type of fluorescent external lights with quadrangle style lamps. Plus, replacement of 15 emergency light fittings above external doors. This style of lighting will ensure instant full brightness is available, reducing safety risks and other hazards the moment these are switched on and better functionality from the CCTV system.

How are we going to achieve it?

- Construct a two-storey office extension to provide much needed additional staff office and archive space.
- Replacement of external light fittings in line with recent bedroom extension (Phase 3) to provide consistent levels and quality of lighting across the site.

What are the benefits?

- Provide the required staff and administration facilities to support the increased bedroom capacity and deliver the high levels of service. Aldine House has increased the staffing ratios in recent years to enable the support of very complex and traumatised children; we now need the space to safely accommodate these additional staff.
- The lighting replacement will provide enhanced lighting coverage, brightness, and CCTV functionality to improve security and reduce safety risks.

| When will the project be completed? 31/12/2022 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------|------------------------------|--------|--|----------|--------|--|--|
| Funding Source | DfE Secure Homes Grant Funding (already secured) | Amount | £347.0k £22.3k £369.3k | Status | The funding is time sensitive and is to be spent by the end of March 2023. | Approved | Yes | | |
| Procurement i. Professional services via a combination of in-house delivery through the Capital Delivery Service and by call-the Capital Delivery Partner corporate contract. ii. Extension build and external lighting replacement by closed competitive tender via Constructionline. iii. Surveys by competitive quotations. | | | | | | | - | | |
| iii. Surveys by competitive quotations. Aldine House – Internal Doors Replacement Why do we need the project? • What is the problem we are trying to address? • Aldine House has undergone significant expansion and refurbishment over the past few years. The home and the daily environment for the young people and staff has improved exponentially due to this. Following on from this aesthetic work we have begun to look at aspects around robustness and technological advancement, whilst also relishing the challenge of preserving the nurturing and safe feel of the home. • A particular area of focus has been the doors within the home. All doors are currently in the style of barrel and key operated lock, with either a one or three-point locking system, except for the initial airlock entry which is magnetic. • Internal doors are an important part of a Secure Children's Home; they are vital to security, safety and safeguarding, are in constant use and must withstand day-to-day wear and tear as well as being subject to intentional damage. They inherently restrict movement around a secure building which, compounded by the specific circulation design at Aldine House, can impact on the response time of staff to incidents. This design also places significant pressure on key doors which, if damaged and temporarily unusable, can prevent access to and use of certain zones of the building. • Doors also make a significant contribution to the character of a children's home whilst their role in providing security can equally be experienced as providing privacy and sanctuary as well as restricting, or rewarding, levels of freedom. • The current internal doors range in condition from those that are entriely original and still ingood workin | | | | | | | +527.3 | | |

| | | harm, whilst str | uctural dam | age can threaten the | e safety and | d security and lead to disruption when left | in a damaged st | tate or undergoing | | |
|----------|---|---|---|----------------------|--------------|--|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | | repair. | | 5 | 2 | · · | 0 | 5 5 | | |
| Page 166 | How are we going to achieve it? Delivery of a planned replacement programme of circa 140 internal doors, specified to Severe Duty, with solid hardwood cores and hardwood lippings. What are the benefits? A planned replacement strategy minimising the disruption of unplanned, reactive repairs and reducing the ongoing maintenance costs of Aldine House. Improved Incident Response Times. Major Cross-Corridor doors to be operated by Electronic Access Control, located on routes to provide quicker incident response compared to current incident response times through use of existing manual keys. Width of Vision Panels to the new doors in vulnerable locations will be reduced in size to a maximum of 100mm. Reduce current vulnerabilities. Vision Panels vulnerable at Major Cross-Corridor doors within the Home to be located centrally, which will support the solid timber around lock casing. Increased security. Cost efficiencies. General wear & tear of original doors now 20-25 years old. All doors to be replaced, to a strategy based on priority, but recognising the cost efficiencies of replacing in large quantities and prior to major damage being caused. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 31/03/2023 Funding Source | e project be com DfE Secure Homes Grant Funding (already secured) | pleted? Amount | £527.3k | Status | The funding is time sensitive and is to be spent by the end of March 2023. | Approved | Yes | | |
| | Procurement | | i. Professional services via a combination of in-house delivery through the Capital Delivery Service and by call-off from the Capital Delivery Partner corporate contract. ii. Internal door replacement by closed competitive tender via Constructionline. iii. Surveys by competitive quotations. | | | | | | | |
| | Variations and reasons for change | | | | | | | | | |
| | None | | | | | | | | | |
| н | Essential c | ompliance and | maintenar | ice | | | | | | |

| | New additi | ons | | | | | |
|------|--|---------------------|---|--------|--|--|--|
| | None | | | | | | |
| | Variations and reasons for change | | | | | | |
| | None | | | | | | |
| | Heart of th | ne City II | | | | | |
| | New additi | ons | | | | | |
| | None | | | | | | |
| - | Variations | and reasons for c | hange | | | | |
| Page | Heart of the | e City II – Block I | | +3,165 | | | |
| | Scheme description | | | | | | |
| 167 | The former John Lewis Store in Sheffield was returned to the Council in January 2022. Following various surveys and site visits it has been established that the building encompasses a significant amount of Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs). Although the former store is currently safe to accurate there is a significant risk and liability for the Council to manage the vacant building. | | | | | | |
| | The issue needs to be addressed now to de-risk Sheffield City Council's management and control of the vacant building and the asbestos removal will be required for whichever option is decided for the future of the building. | | | | | | |
| | What has changed? | | | | | | |
| | A specialist contractor will be appointed to deal with the Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) and ensure the hazardous materials are removed and disposed of in an environmentally friendly manner. | | | | | | |
| | The current project budget has been increased by £3,165k | | | | | | |
| | Variation type: - | | | | | | |
| | Budget increase | | | | | | |
| | Funding Prudential Borrowing with revenue impact offset by lease surrender payment | | | | | | |
| | Procureme | nt | i. Professional services undertaken in-house by the Capital Delivery Service. | | | | |
| | ii. Asbestos professional services by direct call-off from the Corporate Asbestos Surveyor and Project Management | | | | | | |

| | Framework. | |
|--|--|--|
| | iii. Specialised licenced asbestos removal contractor by mini-competition via a compliant public sector framework. | |